Case 1:22-cr-00267-JLT-SKO Document 123 Filed 05/03/24 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ANTONIO J. PATACA Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00267-JLT-SKO	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO VACATE	
13	V.	STATUS CONFERENCE AND SET CHANGE OF PLEA HEARING	
14	SOSTENES QUINTERO LOPEZ,,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
18	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
19	1. By previous order, this matter wa	s set for status conference on May 15, 2024.	
20	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to vacate the status conference on May 15,		
21	2024, and set a change of plea hearing on May 28, 2024, at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between May		
22	15, 2024, and May 28, 2024, at 9:00 a.m., under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii) [Local Code T4].		
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, ar	nd request that the Court find the following:	
24	a) The government has repre	sented that the discovery associated with this case	
25	includes wiretap recordings, thousands of pages of investigative reports, video, audio recordings		
26	cell phone extractions, and other voluminous materials. On or about January 13, 2023, the		
27	government produced approximately 430 GBs of supplemental discovery. The government has		
28	extended a formal plea offer.		

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his client, review the voluminous discovery, conduct independent investigation, finalize the plea agreement, and prepare for the change of plea hearing.
- Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested c) continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the e) case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of May 15, 2024 to May 28, 2024, at 9:00 a.m., inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii) [Local Code T4] because it is so unusual or so complex, due to the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established by this section.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence May 15, 2024.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: April 29, 2024

28

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ ANTONIO J. PATACA ANTONIO J. PATACA Assistant United States Attorney

Case 1:22-cr-00267-JLT-SKO Document 123 Filed 05/03/24 Page 3 of 3

1	Dated: April 29, 2024	/s/ HRISTO BIJEV HRISTO BIJEV
2		Counsel for Defendant SOSTENES QUINTERO
3		LOPEZ,
4		
5		
6		
7		ORDER
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9		
10	DATED: 4/30/2024	Sheila K. Oberto
11		THE HONORABLE SHEILA K. OBERTO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		